

if u_E is the same order of magnitude. Since u_E only appears in the energy equation, the low Prandtl number result contained in Ref. 1 should be reasonably accurate. If the temperature gradient in the x direction is comparable to that in the y direction, the heat conduction term in the x direction must be included also.

Reference

- ¹ Rhodes, C. A. and Kaminer, H., Jr., "Laminar Thermal Boundary Layers on Continuous Surfaces," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 3, March 1972, pp. 331-333.

Comment on "Lift of Wing-Body Combination"

ALEXANDER H. FLAX*

Institute for Defense Analyses, Arlington, Va.

THE Note on the lift of multiple finned bodies by H. T. Yang¹ undertakes to "correct" a result of Miles published in 1952.² Unfortunately, Yang has made an error in reading or copying Miles' Eq. (3) and has omitted the exponent n (where n is the number of fins) in one term. Therefore in Yang's Eq. (3a) the term

$$[(1 + R/S)/2]^{4/n}$$

should be replaced by

$$[\{1 + (R/S)^n\}/2]^{4/n}$$

With this correction, it is easily verified that Yang's Eqs. (3) and (3a) for lift are identical in agreement with Miles' earlier work and reduce to the well-known result of Spreiter³ and Ward⁴ for the case $n = 2$.

References

- ¹ Yang, H. T., "Lift of Wing-Body Combination," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 11, Nov. 1972, pp. 1535-1536.
² Miles, J. W., "On Interference Factors for Finned Bodies," *Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences*, Vol. 18, No. 4, April 1952, p. 287.
³ Spreiter, J. R., "Aerodynamic Properties of Slender Wing-Body Combinations at Subsonic, Transonic, and Supersonic Speeds," TN1662, July 1948, NACA.
⁴ Ward, G. N., "Supersonic Flow Past Slender Pointed Bodies," *Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. II, Pt. I, 1949, pp. 76-97.

Received December 18, 1972; revision received February 5, 1973.

Index categories: Airplane and Component Aerodynamics; Rocket Vehicle Aerodynamics.

* President, Fellow AIAA.

Reply by Author to A. H. Flax

H. T. YANG*

University of Southern California, Los Angeles, Calif.

I AM grateful to A. H. Flax for pointing out the erroneous omission of the exponent n in my Eq. (3a). With this equation thus restored to its original form due to Professor Miles, it is seen that Eqs. (3) and (3a) are indeed equivalent. For n other than 1 or 2, both equations reduce to

$$L = \pi \rho_{\infty} U_{\infty}^2 \alpha s^2 \left\{ 2 \left[\frac{1 + (R/s)^n}{2} \right]^{4/n} - \left(\frac{R}{s} \right)^2 \right\}$$

For $n = 1$, both reduce to

$$L = \frac{1}{4} \pi \rho_{\infty} U_{\infty}^2 \alpha s^2 \left[1 + 4 \left(\frac{R}{s} \right) - 6 \left(\frac{R}{s} \right)^2 + 4 \left(\frac{R}{s} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{R}{s} \right)^4 \right]$$

For $n = 2$, both reduce to Eq. (4), a well-known result as pointed out by Flax.

It is of interest to note that Eq. (3) was derived from the complex potential, Eq. (1), which may be useful in other applications.

Received February 7, 1973.

Index categories: Airplane and Component Aerodynamics; Rocket Vehicle Aerodynamics.

* Faculty Advisor, Associate Fellow AIAA.

Errata

Thin-Walled Beams in Frame Synthesis

RICHARD B. NELSON AND LEWIS P. FELTON

University of California, Los Angeles, Calif.

[AIAA J. 10, 1565-1569 (1972)]

THE direction of the inequality in Eq. (3c) should be reversed. In Eq. (6), the quantity $3^{1/2}$ should be $3^{2/3}$.

Received March 5, 1973.

Index category: Optimal Structural Design.